

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,249	09/09/2003	Kim R. Rogers	ROGERSI	4045	
- ·	7590 04/23/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER			
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			NAFF, DAVID M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, ,	1657		
			NAME DATE:		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,249	ROGERS ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David M. Naff	1657	

_ coord and a managem _ and	Cxammer	Aitonit				
	David M. Naff	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires <u>3. Months from the maining date</u> b) no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	·			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 7-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-6</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will no	of be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the control of the con						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:		The l	WA			
			• N.			
		David M. Naff Primary Examiner				

Art Unit: 1657

Application No. 10/657,249

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (a) reciting "semipermeable material that controls access of volatile acetylcholinesterase inhibitors" (last three lines) in claim 19 raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. An invention as required by this recitation has not been previously claimed.

(b) the above recitation raises the issue of new matter. The specification fails to recite "semipermeable material" and "volatile acetylcholinesterase inhibitors". Additionally, there is no disclosure of the bag controlling access of volatile acetylcholinesterase inhibitors.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments directed to the claims in the amendment are most since the amendment has not been entered. The argument directed to using a semipermeable polyethylene bag as required in claim 10 is unpersausive for reasons set forth in the previous office action of 1/5/07. While Strobel et al do not disclose the polyethylene bag being semipermeable, the bag is inherently semipermeable since gas will pass through the polyethylene when there is sufficient unequal pressure on opposite sides of the polyethylene forming the bag. A bag that allows gas to pass through is semipermeable.